

Physics 221A

Quantum Field Theory

Winter 2015

Prof: Joe Polchinski

joep@kitp.ucsb.edu

ASSIGNMENT #3

Due: Mon., Feb. 2, in class.

1. Consider

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\Psi - m_1\bar{\Psi}\Psi - m_2\bar{\Psi}i\gamma_5\Psi.$$

- a) Verify that the last term is Hermitian (for real m_2), and Lorentz invariant.
- b) Verify that the last term is not invariant under the usual parity transformation.
- c) Show that for m_2 nonzero there is a field redefinition that makes the Lagrangian parity invariant.

Add a real scalar field,

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi + i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\Psi - m_1\bar{\Psi}\Psi - m_2\bar{\Psi}i\gamma_5\Psi - g_1\phi\bar{\Psi}\Psi - g_2\phi\bar{\Psi}i\gamma_5\Psi.$$

The last two terms are known as Yukawa interactions.

- d) Show that for general values of m_i and g_i your field redefinition does not lead to a parity-invariant Lagrangian.
- e) For the case that P is violated, what about C , T , and PCT ?

2. In class, I asserted that any two sets of gamma matrices are related by a change of basis. To show this, define

$$b_1^\pm = (\gamma^1 \pm \gamma^0)/2, \quad b_2^\pm = (\gamma^2 \pm i\gamma^3)/2.$$

- a) Find the anticommutators of all pairs of b^\pm 's.
- b) Show that there must be a spinor u_0 such that $b_1^-u_0 = b_2^-u_0 = 0$. Define the spinor basis to be

$$u_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b_1^+u_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b_2^+u_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b_1^+b_2^+u_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- c) In this basis find the γ^μ and γ_5 .

So whatever basis we started with, we can always get to this one (the spinor u_0 and the b_i^\pm will depend on the original basis), and vice versa.