# Physics 221A: HW7 solutions 

November 21, 2012

1. a) $\left[\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi\right]=2[\psi]-1=[\mathcal{L}]=d \Rightarrow[\psi]=(d-1) / 2$ (compare a kinetic term with two derivatives, as for a boson, then you get $2[\phi]-2$ instead.)
b) $\left[g_{n}(\bar{\psi} \psi)^{n}\right]=d=\left[g_{n}\right]+n \cdot 2 \cdot(d-1) / 2 \Rightarrow g_{n}=d-n(d-1)$
c) $\left[g_{m n} \phi^{m}(\bar{\psi} \psi)^{n}\right]=d=\left[g_{m n}\right]+n \cdot 2 \cdot(d-1) / 2+m \cdot(d-2) / 2 \rightarrow\left[g_{m n}\right]=d-m(d-$ $2) / 2-n(d-1)$
d) Using part b with $d=4$ we see that $\left[g_{n}\right]=4-3 n \leq 0$ when $n \geq 2$. Using part c with $d=4,\left[g_{m n}\right]=4-3 n-m$ which is marginal for $n=m=1$.
e) In $\mathrm{d}=2,\left[g_{n}\right]=2-3 n$ and so no nonzero values are renormalizable. $\left[g_{m n}\right]=2-n$ and so $n=1,2$ are renormalizable, as are any number of powers of $X$.
2. Consider a lagrangian of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi^{i}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} m_{i j} \phi_{i} \phi_{j}-\lambda_{i j k l} \phi_{i} \phi_{j} \phi_{k} \phi_{l} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\phi$ s are real scalar fields and the index $i$ runs from 1 to $N$. We'll work out the real-space two and four-point functions. Ignoring the interactions for now, the matrix $m_{i j}$ is symmetric and so we can find some orthogonal $V$ such that $m$ is diagonal in $V \phi$. Assuming we have already done so, the first two terms are just the sum of the free particle lagrangians for $N$ real scalars, with masses $m_{i}$. If any of the masses $m_{i}$ are equal, the corresponding $\phi_{i}$ can be rotated into each other, an internal $S O(n)$ symmetry, where $n \leq N$ is the number of $m_{i}$ that are equal. There is also a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ symmetry sending all the $\phi_{i} \mapsto-\phi_{i}$, enlarging the symmetry group to $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$, but this is a discrete transformation, unlike the infinitesimal kind that give us conserved currents, so we usually call this an $\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{n})$ symmetry. The $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ reflection will have the usual unitary action on the operators required by quantum mechanics, but no current as it is not a continuous symmetry.

The internal symmetry is generically broken by the $\lambda_{i j k l}$ term, but it may so happen that the couplings also respect the symmetry among some of the scalars. Luckily, this is the case in our problem, as you can see by inspecting (22.10). Life is much easier when you have symmetry.
First, let's think a bit more generally about the current $j^{\mu}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{i}\right)} \delta \phi_{i}$. Write $\delta \phi_{i}=$ $T_{i j} \phi_{j}$ where $T_{i j}$ is an element of the lie algebra of so $(\mathrm{N})$, which consists of antisymmetric

NxN matrices. $T_{i j}$ can be expanded in a basis for so(N) as $T_{i j}=-i \omega_{a} T_{i j}^{a}$, where $i j$ run from $1 \ldots N$ and $a=1 \ldots \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{so}(\mathrm{~N})$ where $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{so}(\mathrm{N})$ is the number of generators of $\mathrm{so}(\mathrm{N})$. The sign is chosen for convenience, and the $i$ ensures that the $T_{i j}$ are hermitian. (You can always choose a basis for any compact Lie algebra in which all the generators are hermitian. If the group is noncompact, you can always choose a basis in which all the generators are either hermitian or antihermitian, but this leads to a negativedefinite term in the hamiltonian.)
So the current for a general $\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{N})$ transformation looks like

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{a} j^{\mu a}=-i \omega_{a} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{i}\right)} T_{i j}^{a} \phi_{j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on the general considerations above, if $\mathcal{L}$ does not involve derivative interactions this gives for the current

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{\mu a}=i T_{i j}^{a} \partial^{\mu} \phi_{i} \phi_{j} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where again $i j$ tell us which scalar field and $a$ tells us which generator of so(N).
Specializing to our case of $\mathrm{N}=2$, we know that $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ has only one generator, which if we want it to be hermitian we can write as $T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -i \\ i & 0\end{array}\right)$. Plugging this into the general expression (3) gives the current (22.16) for the $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ symmetry. The label $a$ is redundant because we have only one generator.
We want to verify the Ward identity (22.26) for this theory, for the special case $n=$ 2; $a_{1}=1, a_{2}=2$. Since this is a path integral identity, it had better hold; the only assumption we made was that our $\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{N})$ rotation left the path integral measure invariant, which turns out to be true in this case (though there was no a priori reason to assume so.)
Write the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi^{i}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} m \phi_{i} \phi_{i}+\mathrm{O}(\lambda)$. Let's figure out $\langle 0| T \phi_{a} \phi_{b}|0\rangle \equiv<\phi_{a} \phi_{b}>$ in this theory, where I am representing the "contraction" of $\phi_{a}$ and $\phi_{b}$ with these funky angled brackets. The contraction of an operator product is the product minus its normal-ordered part, i.e. the part with all the annihilation operators on the right. By construction, the normal-ordered part annihilates the interacting ground state $|0\rangle$.
Use the usual trick of writing $\mathcal{L} \mapsto \mathcal{L}+J_{i} \phi_{i}$; then $Z_{0}[J]=e^{\frac{i}{2} \int d x d x^{\prime} J_{i}(x) \Delta_{i j}\left(x^{\prime}-x^{\prime \prime}\right) J_{j}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}$. Since the $\phi_{i}$ diagonalize the matrix $m_{i j}$, the $\Delta_{i j}$ which solve $\left(-\partial^{2}+m_{i j}\right) \Delta_{i j}(x-$ $y)=\delta(x-y)$, will be diagonal $\Delta_{i j}=\delta_{i j} \Delta_{i}$, where $\Delta_{i}$ is the Feynman propagator for a scalar of mass $m_{i}$. This means that our $Z_{0}[J]$ breaks up into a product of $e^{\frac{i}{2} \int d x d x^{\prime} J_{i}(x) \Delta_{i}\left(x^{\prime}-x^{\prime \prime}\right) J_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}$, where $i$ runs from 1 to N .
So the matrix element we want, $\langle 0| T \phi_{a} \phi_{b}|0\rangle=\left.\left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{a}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{b}}\right) Z_{0}\right|_{J=0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{a}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{b}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{N} e^{\frac{i}{2} \int d x d x^{\prime} J_{i}(x) \Delta_{i}\left(x^{\prime}-x^{\prime \prime}\right) J_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{1}{i} \Delta_{b}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right) \delta_{a b}=<\phi_{a} \phi_{b}> \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\delta_{a b}$ comes from taking say the $J_{b}$ derivative first and realizing that your $J_{a}$ derivative must hit the leftover $J_{b}$ you pulled down along with $\Delta_{b}$.
This is actually all we need, because this notion of contractions will do the rest of the work for us. Wick's theorem tells us that the vacuum expectation value of a timeordered product of fields is equal to the sum of all possible contractions of the fields in the product. This follows straightforwardly from the definition of contraction given above, a time-ordered product separates out into its contraction and its normal-ordered part, and the normal-ordered part annihilates $|0\rangle$.
So the VEV we want to compute is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle 0| T\left(\phi_{1}(x) \partial \phi_{2}(x)-\phi_{2}(x) \partial \phi_{1}(x)\right) \phi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)|0\rangle  \tag{5}\\
& =<\phi_{1}(x) \phi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)>\partial<\phi_{2}(x) \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)>-(1 \leftrightarrow 2)  \tag{7}\\
& =\left(\frac{1}{i}\right)^{2} \Delta\left(x-x_{1}\right) \partial \Delta\left(x-x_{2}\right)-(1 \leftrightarrow 2)
\end{align*}
$$

This tells us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \cdot\langle 0| T j(x) \phi_{1} \phi_{2}|0\rangle=\left(\frac{1}{i}\right)^{2} \Delta\left(x-x_{1}\right) \partial^{2} \Delta\left(x-x_{2}\right)-(1 \leftrightarrow 2) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now use $\left(-\partial^{2}-m^{2}\right) \Delta(x)=\delta(x)$ to write this as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \cdot\langle 0| T j(x) \phi_{1} \phi_{2}|0\rangle=\left(\frac{1}{i}\right)^{2} \Delta\left(x-x_{2}\right) \delta\left(x-x_{2}\right)-(1 \leftrightarrow 2) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

You can immediately read off that this is equal to the right hand side of our ward identity.
3. The first matrix element was discussed above, it is not modified to order $\lambda^{0}$ by the presence of interactions.

First, remember that when we calculate expectation values, we have to normalize by dividing by the full partition function without any operator insertions. That is, we want to divide by $\langle 0 \mid 0\rangle=\left.Z_{0}[J] Z_{1}[J]\right|_{J=0}$, which is the exponential of the sum of disconnected diagrams. (Connected means that any point in the diagram can be obtained by tracing along the diagram from one of the external points; or, any point in the diagram is connected to one of the external legs.)

The argument is not hard if you draw a picture, and can be found for example in section 4.4 of Peskin and Schroeder. A general diagram can be broken up into a connected piece and a bunch of disconnected pieces. Let's say $n_{i}$ of these disconnected pieces are the same, where $i$ labels the different types of disconnected subgraphs present in the diagram we care about; then the value of the full diagram is given by (connected) $\cdot \prod_{i} \frac{1}{n_{i}!}\left(V_{i}\right)^{n_{i}}$, where $V_{i}$ is the value of the disconnected piece and the prefactor is the symmetry factor. Then the time-ordered vev is given by the sum of all these
diagrams $=\sum$ connected $\times \exp \left(\sum_{i} V_{i}\right)$. There are no connected diagrams when we don't have any operator insertions, so $\langle 0 \mid 0\rangle=\exp \left(\sum_{i} V_{i}\right)$, dividing out the annoying factor up top.
You already know the order- $\lambda^{0}$ contribution to the two point function from the last question.
The order- $\lambda^{0}$ contribution to the four-point function has no internal $\phi$ s, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 0| T \phi_{a} \phi_{b} \phi_{c} \phi_{d}|0\rangle=<a b><c d>+2 \text { other pairings }+O(\lambda) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To order $\lambda^{0}$ this is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 0| T \phi_{a} \phi_{b} \phi_{c} \phi_{d}|0\rangle=\left(\frac{1}{i}\right)^{2}\left(\delta_{a b} \delta_{c d} \Delta\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right) \Delta\left(x_{c}-x_{d}\right)+2 \text { other pairings }\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this is a disconnected contribution, so it will disappear when we divide by the norm $\langle 0 \mid 0\rangle$ to calculate the correlation function $\left\langle\phi_{a} \phi_{b} \phi_{c} \phi_{d}\right\rangle$. Diagrammatically, this is just four points $x_{a} \ldots x_{d}$ given corresponding colors $a \ldots d$, with two propagators connecting different pairs of points, which get a $\delta_{a b} \Delta\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)$ (say) if $a b$ are linked by a propagator.
Now we want to know the order $\lambda$ contribution. Let's focus on the connected part, which is the only stuff that survives division by the norm of the vacuum state.
I will break up our interaction term into two pieces, corresponding to a four-point, single-color interaction, or a four-point, two-color interaction. To make the counting more transparent I'll write the interaction lagrangian as $\mathcal{L}_{\text {int }}=-\lambda_{1 c}|\phi|^{4}-\lambda_{2 c} \sum_{\text {pairs }} \phi_{i}^{2} \phi_{j}^{2}$. To enforce our $\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{N})$ symmetry we must have $\lambda_{2 c}=2 \lambda_{1 c}$, just consider rotating $\phi_{1}$ into $\phi_{2}$. Then we can write it as $\mathcal{L}_{i n t}=-\lambda\left(\sum_{i} \phi_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}=-\lambda\left(\sum_{i} \phi_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{j} \phi_{j}^{2}\right)$.
Let's figure out the two-point correction. There are four internal $\phi \mathrm{s}$, all from the firstorder expansion of $e^{i S_{\text {int }}}$, along with a $\lambda \int d z$. One of internal $\phi \mathrm{s}$ must contract with $\phi_{a}$, another with $\phi_{b}$, which leaves two internal $\phi$ s to contract amongst themselves forming a loop. The diagram is just a loop inserted tangent to the propagator (so that the interaction is four-point.)
So our time-ordered product is $-i \lambda \int d z<a z><b z><z z>$ times some counting factor, where $z$ has an index $i$ or $j$ we'll have to be careful about. There are two different contributions: we can either contract $a$ and $b$ to the same type of index ( $i$ or $j$ ), or we can contract one with $i$ and the other with $j$. Supposing we contract $a$ with a $\phi_{i}$, there is one remaining way we could connect $b$ to an $i$ (giving a $\delta_{a i} \delta_{i b} \delta_{j j}=N \delta_{a b}$ ), where we got $N=$ number of scalars terms from the sum of contractions of the $\phi_{j}$. There are four ways to contract $a$ with any of the four internal $\phi$ s, after which we are forced to contract $b$ with the adjacent $\phi$. This is a diagram where $a$ and $b$ are the same color, but the loop can be of any color, so we must sum over colors in the internal loop.
Now let's say we contract $a$ with $i$ and $b$ with $j$, then we get a $\delta_{a i} \delta_{b i} \delta_{i j}=\delta_{a b}$ with no sum over the colors. There are 8 ways to do this: if $a$ contracts with $i$, there are two choices for which $a$ contracts with and two for which $j b$ contracts with, and another factor of two from $i \leftrightarrow j$.

Putting it all together,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\phi_{a} \phi_{b}\right\rangle=-4(N+2) i \lambda \delta_{a b} \int d z \Delta\left(x_{a}-z\right) \Delta\left(x_{b}-z\right) \Delta(z-z) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for the four-point function we have four external $\phi$ s. Now the order $\lambda$ term in $\left\langle\phi_{a} \phi_{b} \phi_{c} \phi_{d}\right\rangle$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 0| T \phi_{a} \phi_{b} \phi_{c} \phi_{d}\left[\int d z(-i \lambda)\left(\sum_{i} \phi_{i}(z)^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{j} \phi_{j}(z)^{2}\right)\right]|0\rangle_{C} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the C means that every vertex is connected eventually to an external leg.
So let's sit down and figure this guy out. It's instructive to work out one term and use counting to do the rest.

Let's say $a$ is contracted with the first $\phi_{i}$. Then $b, c, d$ can be contracted with either $i$ or $j$. There is also a factor of 2 from the symmetry in $j$. So this term schematically is $\int d z<a i>(<b i><c j><d j>+\langle b j><c i><d j>+<b i><c j><d j>) \cdot 2$, where the contractions $<>$ were defined above.

Now, we could have initially contracted $a$ with any of the $\phi$ s in the interaction term, so we have four of these guys, and they're all equal. Another way to do the counting would be to note that we have two choices for the $a$ contraction (i or j), a factor of 2 from the remaining symmetry in whichever of $i$ or $j$ we didn't contract, and another factor of 2 from contracting $a$ with the other index ( j or i), an overall factor of 8 .
Noting that $\delta_{a i} \delta_{b i}=\delta_{a b}$, etc, we can just read off the correlator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\phi_{a} \phi_{b} \phi_{c} \phi_{d}\right\rangle=-8 i \lambda\left(\delta_{a b} \delta_{c d}+\delta_{a c} \delta_{b d}+\delta_{a d} \delta_{b c}\right) \int d z \Delta\left(x_{a}-z\right) \Delta\left(x_{b}-z\right) \Delta\left(x_{c}-z\right) \Delta\left(x_{d}-z\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is our usual four-point vertex, with some extra indices taking care of the conservation of color charge. The amplitude for all colors being equal is three times the amplitude when there are two different colors in the diagram.

