# Quantum theory of quantum Hall smectics

A. H. MacDonald

Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405-4202 and Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106-4030

Matthew P. A. Fisher

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106-4030

(Received 19 July 1999)

We propose a quantum stripe (smectic) coupled-Luttinger-liquid model for the anisotropic states which occur in two-dimensional electron systems with high-index partial Landau-level filling,  $\nu^* = \nu - [\nu]$ . Perturbative renormalization-group calculations establish that interaction terms neglected in this model are relevant —probably driving the system into an anisotropic Wigner crystal—but for  $0.4 \le \nu^* \le 0.6$  only below temperatures which are outside of the experimentally accessible range. We argue that the Hall conductance of the ground state flows toward  $[\nu]e^2/h$  and  $([\nu]+1)e^2/h$ , respectively, on the low- and high-filling-factor sides of this range, consistent with recent observations. A semiclassical theory of smectic state transport properties, which incorporates Luttinger-liquid effects in the evaluation of scattering amplitudes, accounts for the magnitude of the dissipative resistivities at  $\nu^* = 1/2$ , for their  $\nu^*$  dependence, and for the observation of nonlinearities of opposite sign in easy and hard direction resistivities.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Recent transport experiments<sup>1-3</sup> have established a qualitative difference between low-energy states of twodimensional electron systems with large and small index partially filled Landau levels. For Landau-level filling factors  $\nu < 4$  (orbital Landau-level indices smaller than N=2), isotropic quantum-Hall fluid states occur at fractional values of  $\nu$ . For  $N \ge 2$ , on the other hand, experiments have discovered regions of strongly anisotropic dissipative transport near half-odd-integer filling factors, bracketed by reentrant integer-quantum Hall effect regions with Hall conductivities  $[\nu](e^2/h)$  and  $([\nu]+1)(e^2/h)$ . This dependence on N is presumably due to subtle changes in the effective interactions among the electrons of the partially filled Landau level. In this paper we describe a theory which accounts qualitatively and often semiquantitatively for the principal facts uncovered by this series of experiments.

Following Lilly *et al.*,<sup>1</sup> we start from the assumption that the true ground state is close to the unidirectional chargedensity-wave states proposed for  $N \ge 2$  on the basis of Hartree-Fock calculations by Koulakov et al.4 and Moessner and Chalker.<sup>5</sup> In Sec. II we derive a model of coupled onedimensional chiral Luttinger-liquid electron systems for this state. The derivation provides microscopic expressions for the interaction parameters of the model, which are long ranged because of the long range of the underlying Coulomb interaction between electrons. This model neglects small interstripe backscattering terms. In Sec. III we demonstrate that these terms are technically relevant, but near half-filling  $(0.4 \le \nu^* \le 0.6)$  only at inaccessibly low temperatures. Outside this range, however, observable Wigner crystal instabilities are predicted. In Sec. III we present an estimate of the  $\nu^*$  dependence of the temperature below which Wigner crystal states are expected to form. Transport physics in the interesting stripe state regime near  $\nu^* = 1/2$  is considered in

Sec. IV. We present a semiclassical theory in which Luttinger-liquid effects are incorporated into the evaluation of scattering amplitudes, and which describes experiments<sup>1–3</sup> semiquantitatively. This theory makes a number of parameter-free quantitative predictions which are in good accord with observations. In particular, the product of easy and hard direction resistivities in this theory is independent of disorder strength and has a value which agrees well with experiments. Moreover, Luttinger-liquid effects lead to a natural explanation of the nonlinear transport effects observed experimentally.

Several recent papers<sup>6-9</sup> have explored the properties of interacting electron systems in higher Landau levels. The basic framework of our theory has much in common with the work of Fradkin and Kivelson,10 whose approach intriguingly suggests<sup>11</sup> a similarity between the strong correlation physics of quantum-Hall and doped Mott insulator systems. These authors have emphasized the intimate relationship (based on shared symmetry properties) between unidirectional charge-density-wave states and smectic liquid crystal states. We have followed their lead in referring to the anisotropic high-Landau-level states as quantum Hall smectics. Both theories identify the electron stripes as one-dimensional electron systems, and use bosonization techniques to describe the low-energy exctiations of their left- and rightgoing states. The most important difference in our work is that stripe position and shape fluctuations are identified microscopically with the same low-energy excitations. They are not separate low-energy degrees of freedom. Our theory can be developed in terms of either standard Luttinger-liquid boson fields or equivalently in terms of stripe width and position fields. We find one set of gapless collective modes for quantum Hall smectics, which encompasses all of the lowenergy degrees of freedom. A physical consequence of this difference is that in our theory, the quantum Hall smectic ground state is *always unstable* to the formation of either an

5724



FIG. 1. Schematic illustration of the Hartree-Fock theory smectic state. This state is a local minimum of the Hartree-Fock energy functional for any value of  $\nu^*$  and any Landau-level index. At filling factor  $\nu^*$ , the occupied Landau-gauge single-particle states have guiding centers in stripes of width  $av^*$ , shaded in this figure, which repeat with period a. The state can be viewed as consisting of periodically repeated electron stripes or hole stripes. The Hartree-Fock single-particle eigenvalues lie below the Fermi level for guiding centers in the stripes, and above the Fermi level for guiding centers outside the stripes. We take the  $\hat{x}$  direction to be along the stripes and the  $\hat{y}$  direction to be across the stripes. In a magnetic field, the guiding center is related to the wave vector by  $k = y/l^2$ , where *l* is the magnetic length. Each stripe has right- and left-going Hartree-Fock quasiparticles at its top and bottom edges, respectively. In the Luttinger-liquid theory for the one-dimensional stripes, the local Fermi momentum for left- and right-going states in each stripe is elevated to a quantum field. Because of the connection between guiding center and momentum, these fields also describe the thermal and quantum fluctuations of the shapes and positions of the electron and hole stripes. The number of right- and left-going states in any channel is related to its Fermi wave vector by  $\rho_{n,\pm}$  $=k_{F,\pm}/2\pi$ . The strongest momentum-conserving interaction terms not included in the noninteracting boson limit of the Luttingerliquid theory are those in which electrons scatter from left- to rightgoing states in one electron stripe, or hole stripe, and from right- to left-going states in a different stripe of the same type.

electron or a hole Wigner crystal, depending on the sign of  $1/2 - \nu^*$ .

# **II. QUANTUM SMECTIC MODEL**

The smectic state of Hartree-Fock theory<sup>4,5</sup> is a single Slater determinant with alternating occupied and empty guiding-center occupation-number stripes as illustrated schematically in Fig. 1. These states spontaneously break translational and rotational symmetry. For large N they tend to have lower energy than isotropic fluid states, because the electrostatic energy penalty, which usually thwarts the phase separation<sup>11</sup> favored by exchange interactions and by electronic correlations, is small<sup>4,5</sup> when the density wave period is comparable to the cyclotron orbit diameters of index N

electrons. We can consider these states to be composed of either electron or hole stripes with right- and left-going quasiparticles at opposite edges.

Small fluctuations in the positions and shapes of the stripes can be described in terms of particle-hole excitations near the stripe edges. The residual interactions, ignored in Hartree-Fock theory, which scatter into these low-energy states fall into two classes: "forward" scattering interactions which conserve the number of electrons on each edge of every stripe, and "backward" scattering processes which do not. The latter processes involve large momentum transfer and will be smaller in magnitude (see below). The quantum smectic model described in this section includes forward scattering only. These interactions are bilinear in the onedimensional (1D) electron densities associated with the chiral currents at the stripe edges,  $\rho_{n\alpha}(x)$ , with  $\alpha = \pm$ . As explained in Fig. 1, these densities are proportional to an "elastic" field  $u_{n\alpha}(x) = \alpha 2 \pi l^2 \rho_{n\alpha}(x)$  [with  $l = (\hbar c/eB)^{1/2}$ the magnetic length], which measures the transverse displacement of a stripe edge relative to its presumed equilibrium position,  $y_{n\pm}^0 = a(n \pm \nu^*/2)$ . The quadratic Hamiltonian which describes the classical energetics for small fluctuations has the general form

$$H_{0} = \frac{1}{2l^{2}} \int_{x,x'} \sum_{n,n'} u_{n\alpha}(x) D_{\alpha\beta}(x-x';n-n') u_{n'\beta}(x')$$
$$= \frac{1}{2l^{2}} \int_{q} u_{\alpha}(-q) D_{\alpha\beta}(q) u_{\beta}(q), \qquad (1)$$

where  $\int_{q} \equiv \int d^{2}q/(2\pi)^{2}$ . Here the  $q_{y}$  integral is over the interval  $(-\pi/a, \pi/a)$ , and a high-momentum cutoff  $\Lambda \sim 1/l$  is implicit on  $q_{x}$ .

Symmetry considerations further constrain the form of the elastic kernel. In position space the kernel must be real and symmetric, so that  $D_{\alpha\beta}(q) = D_{\alpha\beta}^*(-q) = D_{\beta\alpha}^*(q)$ . This implies  $D_{-+}(q) = D_{+-}^*(q)$  and  $\text{Im}D_{\alpha\alpha}(q) = 0$ . Parity invariance (under  $x, n, + \leftrightarrow -x, -n, -)$ , implies, moreover,  $D_{2+}(q) = D_{2-}(q)$ . Thus the elastic kernel is fully specified by one real function  $D_{2+}(q)$  and one complex function  $D_{+-}(q)$ . In the *g*-ology notation of the 1D electron-gas literature, <sup>12,13</sup> these amplitudes correspond to  $g_4$  and  $g_2$ , respectively. Finally, provided the broken translational and rotational invariance in the smectic occur spontaneously, the classical Hamiltonian must be invariant under  $u_{n\alpha}(x) \rightarrow u_{n\alpha}(x) + \text{const}$  and  $\partial_x u_{n\alpha}(x) \rightarrow \partial_x u_{n\alpha}(x) + \text{const}$ . This symmetry determines the form of  $D(q) = \sum_{\alpha\beta} D_{\alpha\beta}(q)$  at small wave vector,

$$D(q) = K_x q_x^4 + K_y q_y^2 + \dots,$$
(2)

the characteristic form for smectic elasticity.

A *quantum* theory of the quantum Hall smectic is obtained by imposing Kac-Moody commutation relations on the chiral densities:

$$[\rho_{n\alpha}(x),\rho_{n'\beta}(x')] = \frac{i}{2\pi} \alpha \,\delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{n,n'} \partial_x \delta(x-x'). \tag{3}$$

This commutator together with  $H_0$  fully specifies the quantum dynamics. Electron operators in the chiral edge modes

are related to the 1D densities via the usual bosonic phase fields  $\psi_{n\alpha} \sim e^{i\phi_{n\alpha}}$ , with  $\rho_{n\alpha} = \alpha \partial_x \phi_{n\alpha}/2\pi$ . It is a notable feature of the strong-field regime that the Luttinger-liquid bosonic fields  $\phi_{n,\pm}(x)$  fully determine the stripe position and shape fluctuations. In terms of the bosonic fields, the local center of the *n*th stripe is

$$Y_{n}(x) = an + \frac{u_{n,+}(x) + u_{n,-}(x)}{2}$$
$$= an + \frac{l^{2}[\partial_{x}\phi_{n+}(x) + \partial_{x}\phi_{n-}(x)]}{2}, \qquad (4)$$

and the local width of the *n*th stripe is

$$W_{n}(x) = a \nu^{*} + u_{n,+}(x) - u_{n,-}(x)$$
  
=  $a \nu^{*} + l^{2} [\partial_{x} \phi_{n+}(x) - \partial_{x} \phi_{n-}(x)].$  (5)

We also remark that even though  $H_0$  has a quadratic form, there is no limit in which a free fermion description of the smectic [with  $D_{\alpha\beta}(n) \sim \delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{n0}$ ] is valid. The interactions which are responsible for the broken symmetry play an essential role.

Quantum properties of the smectic can be computed from the imaginary-time action,

$$S_{0} = \int_{x,\tau} \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{n,\alpha} i\alpha \partial_{\tau} \phi_{n,\alpha} \partial_{x} \phi_{n,\alpha} + \int_{\tau} H_{0}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\boldsymbol{q},\omega} \phi_{\alpha}(-\boldsymbol{q},-\omega) M_{\alpha,\beta}(\boldsymbol{q},\omega) \phi_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{q},\omega), \qquad (6)$$

where, in an obvious matrix notation,

$$\mathbf{M}(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{\omega}) = (i \,\boldsymbol{\omega} q_x / 2\pi) \,\boldsymbol{\sigma}^z + (q_x l)^2 \mathbf{D}(\boldsymbol{q}). \tag{7}$$

Correlation functions follow from Wick's theorem and the momentum-space correlator  $\langle \phi_{\alpha} \phi_{\beta} \rangle = M^{-1}$ , with

$$\boldsymbol{M}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{q},\omega) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{z} \boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{q},-\omega) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{z} / \text{det} \boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{q},\omega).$$
(8)

Due to the spontaneous breaking of translational and rotational symmetry in the smectic, one expects gapless Goldstone modes at zero wave vector. The collective-mode dispersion is readily obtained by setting det  $M(q, i\omega_q) = 0$ , giving  $\omega_q = v(q)q_x$ , with a velocity

$$v(\boldsymbol{q}) = (2\pi l^2) [D_{2+}^2(\boldsymbol{q}) - |D_{+-}(\boldsymbol{q})|^2]^{1/2}.$$
(9)

At small wave vectors, the mode velocity vanishes:  $v^2(q) \sim q_y^2 + q_x^4$ . Internal consistency requires that these soft modes do *not* restore the symmetries assumed to have been broken in the smectic state. To examine this we consider the complex smectic order parameter,  $\Phi \sim e^{iQu}$ , which describes the charge-density order  $\delta \rho = Re \Phi e^{iQy}$  with  $Q = 2\pi/a$  the ordering wave vector. The average  $\langle \Phi \rangle$  can be readily computed using the quantum harmonic theory, and at T=0 one finds  $\langle \Phi \rangle \sim \exp(-Q^2 I)$ , with

$$I \sim \int_{\boldsymbol{q}} |q_x| D_{2+}(\boldsymbol{q}) / v(\boldsymbol{q}). \tag{10}$$

With  $D_{2+}$  nonzero at q=0, the integrals converge at small q, so that the Debye-Waller factor  $(e^{-Q^2 I})$  and smectic order

parameter are nonvanishing. Evidently, these harmonic quantum fluctuations are insufficient to destroy the broken symmetries in the smectic.<sup>14</sup>

The effect of the neglected backscattering interactions, considered in Sec. III, depends sensitively on the elastic constants *at*  $q_x = 0$ . In this limit the relevant excited states are simply Slater determinants with straight stripe edges displaced from those of the Hartree-Fock theory ground state. By evaluating the expectation value of the microscopic Hamiltonian in a state with arbitrary stripe edge locations, we find that

$$D_{\alpha\beta}(q_x=0,q_y) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}D_0 + \alpha\beta \frac{a}{4\pi^2 l^2} \times \sum_n e^{iq_yan}\Gamma(y^0_{n\alpha} - y^0_{0\beta}), \quad (11)$$

where the value of the constant  $D_0$  is such that  $\sum_{\alpha\beta} D_{\alpha\beta}(q = 0) = 0$ . Here  $\Gamma(y)$  is the interaction potential between two electrons located in guiding center states a distance y apart:

$$\Gamma(y) = U(0, y/l^2) - U(y/l^2, 0), \qquad (12)$$

$$U(q,k) = \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} e^{-(q^2 + p^2)l^2/2} V_{\text{eff}}^N(q,p) e^{-ipkl^2}.$$
 (13)

The two terms in Eq. (12) are direct and exchange contributions. In Eq. (13),  $V_{\text{eff}}^{N}(q,p)$  is the Fourier transform of the effective 2D electron interaction which incorporates form factors<sup>9</sup> dependent on the Landau-level index N and the ground subband wave function of the host semiconductor heterojunction or quantum well. The smectic states have relatively long periods proportional to the index N cyclotron orbit radii. Explicit calculations<sup>4,9</sup> show that  $a \ge 6l$  for N  $\geq 2$ . It follows that the exchange contribution to  $\Gamma(y)$  is small, and that  $\Gamma(y)$  decreases with stripe separation in the relevant range. With unscreened Coulomb interactions,  $\Gamma(y)$ diverges logarithmically at large y, so it is convenient to introduce a metallic screening plane. This changes the large-y behavior to  $y^{-2}$ , making the sum over *n* in Eq. (11) convergent. As shown below, however, we do not find that our conclusions change qualitatively when a screening plane is absent. In Fig. 2 we plot  $\Gamma(y)$  for N=2 for the cases of thin 2D electron systems separated from metallic screening planes by d = l and d = 5l. Note that  $\Gamma(y)$  is monotonically decreasing with positive curvature in the range of interest.

#### **III. BACKSCATTERING INTERACTIONS**

We now consider the "backward" scattering electron interactions, ignored above. The bare matrix elements for these interactions will fall off exponentially with increasing momentum transfer and with increasing separation between the interacting stripes, so we choose here to focus on the smallest momentum transfer. We explicitly discuss only the case of backscattering<sup>15</sup> across electron stripes and across hole stripes, as illustrated schematically in Fig. 1. For a pair of stripes separated by ma, backscattering across an electron stripe can be expressed in a bosonized form



FIG. 2. Interaction matrix element  $\Gamma(y)$  vs dimensionless separation y/l = ql for the case of interactions in a zero-width 2D layer screened by a parallel metallic layer and with a Landau-level index N=2. d is the distance to the metallic layer, and  $\Gamma$  is in units of  $e^2/\epsilon \approx 200$  meV nm for 2D electron systems formed near the surface of a GaAs crystal. The Luttinger model g-ology parameter which characterizes interactions between stripes separated by na in the 2D electron layer is  $\sim \Gamma(na)$ .  $\Gamma(y)$  is simply related to the elastic constants in terms of which the chiral Luttinger model is developed in the text. Metallic screening layers are sometimes present in experimental samples, but are introduced here mainly as a convenience since  $\Gamma$  varies logarithmically with y at large y if they are not present and various sums over stripe indices do not converge. The limit  $d \rightarrow \infty$  can be taken at the end of the calculation, if appropriate.  $\Gamma$  vanishes for  $y \rightarrow 0$  because its direct and exchange contributions cancel.

$$S_{1} = \int_{x, \tau} \sum_{n,m} u_{m} \{ \exp[i \theta_{n,m}(x,\tau)] + \text{H.c.} \}, \qquad (14)$$

where

$$\theta_{n,m} = (\phi_{n,+} - \phi_{n,-}) - (\phi_{n+m,+} - \phi_{n+m,-}).$$
(15)

Hole backscattering takes a similar form. Since the effects of backscattering across electron and hole stripes are equivalent under a particle-hole transformation ( $\nu^* \leftrightarrow 1 - \nu^*$ ), we focus exclusively on the former.

The effects of backscattering can be deduced by implementing a simple renormalization-group (RG) scheme. Specifically, we integrate out "fast" boson modes  $\phi$  in a shell, with  $\Lambda/b < |q_x| < \Lambda$  and  $\omega, q_y$  unrestricted, and then rescale  $q'_x = bq_x$  and  $\omega' = b\omega$  leaving  $q_y$  unchanged. With an appropriate rescaling of  $\phi$ , this RG transformation leaves the harmonic smectic action  $S_0$  invariant. Stability of the smectic fixed point in the presence of backscattering can be tested by considering the lowest order RG flow equation

$$\partial u_m / \partial t = (2 - \Delta_m) u_m, \qquad (16)$$

with  $t = \ln b$ . Using Eqs. (15) and (8), we find the following expression for the scaling dimension:

$$\Delta_m = 4 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d(qa)}{2\pi} \sin^2(mqa/2) W(q_x = 0, q).$$
(17)

Here W is a "weight" function:

$$W(\boldsymbol{q}) = \frac{\left[D_{2+}(\boldsymbol{q}) + \operatorname{Re} D_{+-}(\boldsymbol{q})\right]}{\left[D_{2+}^{2}(\boldsymbol{q}) - \left|D_{+-}(\boldsymbol{q})\right|^{2}\right]^{1/2}}.$$
(18)

If the scaling dimension  $\Delta_m < 2$ , the smectic phase is *unstable*. Fortunately,  $\Delta_m$  only depends on the elastic constants at  $q_x = 0$ , so that we can use the microscopic expressions discussed at the end of Sec. II for its evaluation.

If the weight function  $W(q_y) \leq 1$  in Eq. (17), then  $\Delta_m < 2$ , and backscattering is relevant. To understand the dependence of  $W(q_y)$  on filling factor it is useful to consider  $q_y a = 0, \pi$ , so that  $D_{+-}$  is real and the expression for W simplifies. For q=0, smectic elasticity implies  $D_{2+}+D_{+-}=0$ , so that  $W(q_y=0)=0$ . When  $q_y a = \pi$ , one has

$$D_{+-}(q_{y}a = \pi) = \sum_{n} \frac{(-1)^{n}a}{4\pi^{2}l^{2}} \{ \Gamma[an + a(1 - \nu^{*})] - \Gamma(an + a\nu^{*}) \}.$$
(19)

Note that  $D_{+-}(q_y a = \pi)$  vanishes, and the weight function equals 1 for  $\nu^* = 1/2$ . Provided  $\Gamma(y)$  is monotonically decreasing with positive curvature for  $y \ge a$ ,  $D_{+-}(q_y \pi)$  will be negative for all  $\nu^* < 1/2$ , implying  $W(q_v a = \pi) < 1$ . If the weight function is monotonic in  $q_{y}a$ , the backscattering interactions will thus be relevant. Using Eqs. (11) and (12), we have computed  $W(q_v)$  for a range of values of N,  $v^*$ , and d, and have always found that it is indeed monotonic; the typical behavior is illustrated in Fig. 3. For the sake of definiteness we have ignored the finite width of the ground subband wave function in these calculations. Numerically calculated scaling dimensions for m=1, N=2, and d=10l are plotted in Fig. 4. For  $\nu^* > 1/2$ ,  $W(q_v a = \pi) > 1$ , so that the electron backscattering amplitude scaling dimension increases, eventually crossing above 2, as seen in Fig. 4. The dependence of the calculated scaling dimension on the distance to the screening plane is illustrated in Fig. 5 for the cases N=2 and  $\nu^* = 0.5$ . As the distance to the screening plane increases, the weighting function approaches 1 more rapidly as  $q_{v}a$  goes from 0 to  $\pi$ . However, the values at  $q_v a = 0, \pi$  are fixed at 0 and 1, respectively, and the curves are monotonic at all values of d. As a result the scaling dimension is only weakly dependent on d, and the interaction remains relevant for any finite value of d.

The most significant conclusion which follows from this calculation is that for *all*  $\nu^*$ , backscattering across either electron or hole stripes is relevant, and will destabilize the smectic ground state. The ultimate fate of the ground state will presumably depend on the relative magnitudes of the various backscattering interactions. For the interactions considered above, the bare coupling constants will fall rapidly with increasing stripe separation *m*,

$$u_m \sim U(a\nu/l^2, ma/l^2),$$
 (20)

so that m = 1 will dominate. If each electron stripe is viewed as a 1D conductor, this is a  $2k_F$  backscattering interaction, which tends to drive<sup>10</sup> charge ordering *along* the stripe, with a wavelength corresponding to the 1D electron spacing. We thus strongly suspect that for  $\nu^* < 1/2$  the smectic will be unstable to the formation of an electron Wigner crystal, with one electron per unit cell. For a large Landau index *N*, the crystal would be highly anisotropic, compressed along the *x* 



FIG. 3. Quantum Hall smectic Luttinger model parameters and integrands of the expressions for the backscattering interaction scaling dimensions. This plot is for valence Landau-level index N=2 and screening layer distance d=10l.  $g_4(q)$  is in units of  $e^2/\epsilon$ , and the collective excitation velocity for  $v^*=0.5$ ,  $v_S(q_x=0,q_yq)$  is in units of  $e^2/2\pi\epsilon\hbar$ . The values of these units are approximately 200 meV nm and  $4.8 \times 10^4$  m/s, respectively, for 2D electron systems formed near the surface of a GaAs crystal. Our scaling dimension results can be understood in terms of the properties of the weighting factors W in the integrals, as discussed in the text.  $g_4(q)$  is related to the elastic constants in terms of which the chiral Luttinger model is developed in the text by  $D_{2+}(k_x=0,q) = (a/4\pi^2 l^2)g_4(q)$ . The large value of  $g_4(q)$  for  $q \rightarrow 0$  is due to the long range of the underlying Coulomb interaction between electrons.

direction, with an aspect ratio proportional to *N*. For  $\nu^* > 1/2$ , though, backscattering across the hole stripes will dominate, leading to an anisotropic hole Wigner crystal, with one hole per unit cell. In either crystal phase there will, in contrast to the smectic case, be an energy gap  $E_g$  for single-particle excitations. Provided the crystal phases should have vanishing dissipative conductivities  $\sigma_{xx}$  and  $\sigma_{yy}$ . However, the hole Wigner crystal will have an extra Landau-level edge state. The quantized Hall conductances of electron and hole Wigner crystal states will be  $\sigma_{xy} = [\nu]e^2/h$  and  $[\nu+1]e^2/h$ , respectively.

Of considerable interest is the *magnitude* of the Wigner crystal gap as a function of  $\nu^*$ . With a knowledge of the dimensionless backscattering interaction *u*, this gap can be estimated by integrating the RG flow equations. Specifically, under a RG transformation, the energy gap should rescale as

$$E_{g}(u) = b^{-1} E_{g}(b^{2-\Delta}u), \qquad (21)$$



FIG. 4. Scaling dimensions for m=1 electron scattering and hole  $2k_F$  scattering and electron and hole impurity scattering vertices (dashed lines) for a range of filling factors near  $v^*=1/2$ . For this calculation the distance to the screening plane was chosen to be d=10l. Electron-scattering vertices are an increasing function of the filling factor, and hole vertices are a decreasing function of the filling factor, as discussed in the text. The interaction terms are relevant for scaling dimensions smaller than 2, while impurity terms are relevant for scaling dimensions smaller than 1.5. The interedge scattering rate is enhanced at low energies when the impurity interaction scaling dimension is smaller than 1.0. Interaction terms with *m* larger than 1 are more relevant, but have bare coupling constants which are smaller by several orders of magnitude. Interaction terms with larger momentum transfers than those discussed here also have much smaller bare coupling constants.

with  $\Delta = \Delta_1$ . When the interaction becomes of order 1,  $b^{2-\Delta}u = 1$ , the energy gap should be roughly equal to the characteristic Coulomb energy  $E_c$ , giving

$$E_{g}(u) = (U/E_{c})^{1/(2-\Delta)}E_{c}, \qquad (22)$$



FIG. 5. Dependence of m = 1,  $\nu^* = 1/2$  electron and hole backscattering amplitude scaling dimensions  $(\Delta_{1,e} = \Delta_{1,h} = \Delta_1)$  on distance between the two-dimensional electron system and the model's metallic screening plane. Here *d* is in units of the magnetic length *l*. For  $d \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\Delta$  approaches  $16/3\pi$ , the value which can be calculated analytically for the case of interactions only between nearestneighbor chiral edge modes. As explained in the text,  $\Delta$  increases with *d*, but only slowly, and is smaller than 2 for arbitrarily large *d*.



FIG. 6. Estimated single-particle energy gap of the anisotropic Wigner crystal state,  $E_g$ , as a function of partial filling factor  $\nu^*$  for a model with an orbital Landau-level index N=2 and a distance to the screening plane of d=10l. For this model the bare back-scattering matrix element vanishes for  $\nu^* \sim 0.43$  and 0.57. These results were obtained with the choice  $E_c = 0.3e^{2}/l$ . The energy gaps are in units of  $e^2/\epsilon l$ , which has a typical value  $\sim 100 \text{ K/k}_B \cdot E_g/k_B$  is smaller than  $\sim 10 \text{ mK}$ , the base temperature scale for a dilution fridge, for  $0.4 \leq \nu^* \leq 0.6$ .  $E_g/k_B$  approaches  $\sim 1 \text{ K}$ , the energy gap observed on reentrant integer quantum Hall plateaus, for  $\nu^* \sim 0.25$  and 0.75.

with  $U = uE_c$  the (dimensionful) backscattering strength. The  $\nu^*$  dependence of the gap enters both through U, which is extremely small for  $\nu^*$  near 1/2 because of the long period of the stripe lattice, and the scaling dimension  $\Delta$ , which is maximal at  $\nu^* = 1/2$ . (For  $\nu^* > 1/2$  the same applies to backscattering across hole stripes.) Both effects conspire to strongly reduce the gap magnitude near half-filling. Using the above estimates, it is possible to obtain the  $\nu^*$  dependence of the gap explicitly. Taking  $E_c = 0.3e^2/l$ , the order of the maximum correlation energy per electron in a partially filled Landau level, the resulting gap for N=2 and d=10l is shown in Fig. 6. Notice that the Wigner crystal gap plummets rapidly to extremely small values near  $\nu^* = 1/2$ , dropping below the range accessible to dilution fridges over the filling factor range  $0.4 \leq \nu^* \leq 0.6$ , where anisotropic transport is observed in low-temperature experiments. In this region the Wigner crystal states will be inaccessible (melted at experimental temperatures), and the anisotropic transport of the smectic phase should be unmasked. Outside this range, the Wigner crystal will be pinned by even weak impurities, resulting in quantized Hall plateaus. For  $\nu^* = 0.3$ , the gap values estimated here are typical<sup>1,2</sup> of those found on the reentrant integer quantum Hall plateaus which bracket the anisotropic transport regimes.

We remark that electron and hole Wigner crystal states are also the ground states in the Hartree-Fock approximation.<sup>9,10,17</sup> In that approximation, however, the gaps are orders of magnitude larger  $\sim E_c \approx 0.3 \ e^2/\epsilon l$  over a wide range of filling factors. The Hartree-Fock approximation is expected to be reasonably accurate for the nearly classical Wigner crystal states which occur in the tails of  $N \leq 1$ Landau levels. Evidently quantum fluctuations have a larger importance for these  $N \geq 2$  crystal states.

## **IV. ANISOTROPIC TRANSPORT PROPERTIES**

Transport near  $\nu^* = 1/2$  in the smectic regime will be strongly influenced by impurities, which are in fact necessary to obtain *any* transport in the "hard" *y* direction. The dominant effect will presumably come from impurity scattering across electron or hole stripes, with the latter being the bottleneck when  $\nu^* < 1/2$  and the former when  $\nu^* > 1/2$ . For weak impurity scattering it is possible to examine their effects perturbatively. Consider, for example, impurity scattering across electron stripes,

$$H_{imp} = \int_{x} \sum_{n} \xi_{n}(x) e^{i(\phi_{n+} - \phi_{n-})} + \text{H.c.}, \qquad (23)$$

with  $\xi(x)$  a complex random potential. Taking  $\xi_n(x)$  to be uncorrelated and Gaussian,

$$[\xi_n^*(x)\xi_{n'}(x')]_{ens} = \mathcal{D}\delta_{nn'}\delta(x-x'), \qquad (24)$$

a simple RG perturbative in the variance  $\mathcal{D}$  is possible. One finds  $\partial \mathcal{D} / \partial t = (3 - 2\Delta_e)\mathcal{D}$ , with the scaling dimension of the operator  $e^{i(\phi_+ - \phi_-)}$  given by

$$\Delta_e = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d(qa)}{2\pi} W(q_x = 0, q).$$
(25)

Here W is the same "weight" function as in Eq. (17). The filling factor dependence of  $\Delta_e$  can be understood from considerations similar to those for the backscattering amplitudes detailed in the previous section. For 1D noninteracting electrons  $\Delta_e = 1$ , so that disorder is relevant and eventually leads to localization. For the smectic we can estimate  $\Delta_e$  as a function of filling  $\nu^*$ ; the result of this calculation was included in Fig. 4. At all  $\nu^*$  impurity scattering across either electron or hole stripes is more relevant than in the noninteracting electron case.

In the strict zero-temperature limit, we thus expect that impurities (aided by interactions) will ultimately drive localization for all  $\nu^*$ , except right *at* the  $\nu^* = 1/2$  plateau transition. However, samples in which quantum Hall smectic physics is observed have extremely weak impurity scattering, so that it might be possible to ignore localization effects at accessible temperatures. More specifically, consider the dimensionless disorder strength  $D = Dl/E_c^2$ , with  $E_c$  the Coulomb energy scale. Provided  $D \leq 1$ , there should be a large temperature range over which impurity backscattering can be treated perturbatively and localization effects ignored. To see this, it is convenient to introduce an *effective* temperature-dependent disorder strength that follows from the RG:  $D_{eff}(T) = (T/E_c)^{2\Delta_e - 3}D$ , which increases upon cooling. Provided  $D_{\text{eff}}(T) \le 1$ , localization effects should be negligible, and Boltzmann transport should be operative.

A key parameter in a Boltzmann approach is the impurity scattering rate  $\Gamma_e$  ( $\Gamma_h$ ) across an electron (hole) stripe. Within a simple free-fermion golden-rule calculation, one expects  $\Gamma_e^0 = cDE_c$  (with c an order 1 constant), which is independent of temperature. But under the RG transformation the scattering rate rescales as

$$\Gamma_e(D,T) = b^{-1} \Gamma_e(b^{3-2\Delta_e}D, bT).$$
(26)

Running the RG until  $bT = E_c$  gives  $\Gamma(D,T) = (T/E_c)\Gamma(D_{\text{eff}}, E_c)$ . Using the free-fermion result at  $T = E_c$ , one has

$$\Gamma_e(T) = cTD_{\text{eff}}(T) = \Gamma_e^0(T/E_c)^{2\Delta_e - 2}.$$
 (27)

This should be valid provided that  $D_{\text{eff}} < 1$ . For a noninteracting 1D electron gas  $\Delta_e = 1$  so that  $\Gamma_e$  is temperature independent. In contrast, Luttinger-liquid effects in the quantum-Hall smectic give a temperature dependence to the Boltzmann scattering rate—generally increasing upon cooling. Equivalently, the impurity mean free path varies with temperature, in marked contrast to low-temperature metallic transport.

In the Boltzmann approach to transport in the quantum Hall smectic that we develop below, quantum interference effects between successive interedge impurity backscattering events are ignored. This is valid provided,  $\Gamma_e$  is not large compared to  $\Gamma_{\phi}$ , where  $\Gamma_{\phi}$  is the electron phase breaking rate. Within a single chiral edge mode, forward scattering interactions will rapidly dephase an electron. A simple perturbative calculation for the electron self-energy is expected to give the form  $\Gamma_{\phi} = c' T u_f^2$ , with  $u_f$  a dimensionless forward scattering amplitude and c' of order 1. Since  $u_f$  is also of order 1 this implies  $\Gamma_{\phi} = c_{\phi}T$ . Comparing with Eq. (27), one sees that it is thus legitimate to ignore interference between successive impurity backscattering events provided  $D_{\rm eff}(T)$  is not large compared to 1. For temperatures low enough that  $D_{\rm eff}(T)$  is large, quantum interference effects cannot be neglected, and one expects an onset of localization (except right at  $\nu^* = 1/2$ ). In a strong field, the leading oneloop weak-localization effects will not be operative, so that two-loop interference processes will drive the localization.

With this preamble in hand, we proceed to develop a semiclassical Boltzmann transport theory for the quantum-Hall smectic phase. Some features of the following discussion are related to the analysis of transport in a periodic potential by Aizuri and Volkov.<sup>18</sup> We assume that the charge-density wave itself is pinned and immobilized by both the edges of the sample and weak impurities which couple to the electrons within the stripes. In this case, collective sliding motion of the charge density will be absent, and the electrical transport will be dominated by singleparticle interedge electron tunneling. It is convenient to characterize the nonequilibrium current-carrying state by separate local steady-state chemical potentials  $\mu_{n\pm}$  for left- and rightgoing electrons in each stripe. Due to the discrete translational symmetry of the smectic, the steady-state chemical potential must increase by  $eE_{v}a$  upon translation by one period, with  $E_y$  the y component of a (uniform) electric field. Taking the zero of chemical potential as the center of the n = 0 electron stripe, we can thus write

$$\mu_{n,+} = neE_{y}a + \mu/2,$$
  
$$\mu_{n,-} = neE_{y}a - \mu/2.$$
 (28)

Here the chemical potential drops across electron and hole stripes are  $\mu$  and  $eE_ya - \mu$ , respectively. An electric field  $E_x$  in the  $\hat{x}$  direction induces a steady flow in momentum space which moves each electron stripe to smaller *y* (for  $E_x > 0$ ), lowering the chemical potential on right-going edges and raising it on left-going edges. This disequilibrium induces a tunneling current across both electron and hole stripes, which attempts to restore equilibrium:

$$\dot{\mu}_{n,+} = -eE_{x}v_{F} + \frac{\mu_{n,-} - \mu_{n,+}}{\tau_{e}} + \frac{\mu_{n+1,-} - \mu_{n,+}}{\tau_{h}},$$
$$\dot{\mu}_{n,-} = eE_{x}v_{F} + \frac{\mu_{n,+} - \mu_{n,-}}{\tau_{e}} + \frac{\mu_{n-1,+} - \mu_{n,-}}{\tau_{h}}.$$
 (29)

Here we have introduced interedge scattering times, related to the rates above via  $\Gamma_e = 1/\tau_e$  and  $\Gamma_h = 1/\tau_h$ , for tunneling across electron and hole stripes, respectively. The electric field  $E_x$  induces a drift in the wave vector of the electrons in each chiral edge mode,  $\hbar \dot{k} = -eE_x$ . In Eq. (29)  $v_F$  is a "Fermi velocity," which relates changes in the edge chemical potential to wave vector:  $v_F = \partial \mu / \partial k$ . This velocity is determined by the "on-site" piece of the smectic elastic constants as,  $v_F = 2 \pi l^2 D_{2+}(q_x = 0, n = 0)$ .

In the steady state  $\dot{\mu}_{n,\pm} = 0$ , so that

$$\mu(\tau_e^{-1} + \tau_h^{-1}) = \frac{eE_y a}{\tau_h} - eE_x v_F, \qquad (30)$$

relating the unknown parameter  $\mu$  to the electric fields. The current in the  $\hat{x}$  direction is due to the imbalance between left- and right-going electrons in each stripe:

$$I_x = \frac{e^2}{h} \left[ \frac{L_y}{a} \right] (-\mu/e). \tag{31}$$

In Eq. (31) the contribution from each stripe is given by the familiar expression for the quantum Hall current, and the factor in square brackets is the number of electron stripes in a sample with width  $L_y$ . The current in the  $\hat{y}$  direction is equal to the tunneling current across the hole stripes,

$$I_{y} = \frac{eL_{x}}{v_{F}h} \frac{eE_{y}a - \mu}{\tau_{h}},$$
(32)

with  $L_x$  the sample width. The first factor on the right-hand side of Eq. (32) is the charge per unit energy in a chiral 1D electron system of length  $L_x$ .

Inserting Eq. (30) into Eqs. (31) and (32) to eliminate  $\mu$  gives the desired expressions for the conductivity matrix:

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{e^2}{h} \frac{v_F \tau_e \tau_h}{a(\tau_e + \tau_h)},$$
  
$$\sigma_{yy} = \frac{e^2}{h} \frac{a}{v_F(\tau_e + \tau_h)},$$
(33)

f

$$\sigma_{yx} = -\sigma_{xy} = \frac{e^2}{h} \left( \left[ \nu \right] + \frac{\tau_e}{\tau_e + \tau_h} \right).$$

Inverting the conductivity matrix gives the following expressions for the resistivities:

$$\rho_{\text{easy}} = \frac{h}{e^2} \frac{1}{\tau_e([\nu]+1)^2 + \tau_h[\nu]^2} \frac{a}{v_F}$$

$$\rho_{\text{hard}} = \frac{h}{e^2} \frac{1}{\tau_e([\nu]+1)^2 + \tau_h[\nu]^2} \frac{v_F \tau_e \tau_h}{a} \qquad (34)$$

$$\rho_{\text{hall}} = \frac{h}{e^2} \frac{1}{\tau_e([\nu]+1)^2 + \tau_h[\nu]^2} ([\nu]+1) \tau_e + [\nu] \tau_h,$$

where  $\rho_{\text{easy}} = \rho_{xx}$ ,  $\rho_{\text{hard}} = \rho_{yy}$ , and  $\rho_{\text{hall}} = \rho_{xy}$ .

Equation (34) relates the dissipative and Hall resistivities to the two scattering rates  $\Gamma_e$  and  $\Gamma_h$ . The dependencies on temperature and filling factor  $\nu^*$  enter through these scattering rates, in the form established above:

$$\Gamma_{e} = \frac{1}{\tau_{e}} = \Gamma_{e}^{(0)} (k_{B}T/E_{c})^{2\Delta_{e}-2},$$
  

$$\Gamma_{h} = \frac{1}{\tau_{h}} = \Gamma_{h}^{(0)} (k_{B}T/E_{c})^{2\Delta_{h}-2}.$$
(35)

Here the free-fermion scattering rates across electron and hole stripes  $\Gamma_e^{(0)}$  and  $\Gamma_h^{(0)}$  depend on the impurity scattering strength (and  $\nu^*$ ) but *not* the temperature.  $E_c$  is the Coulomb energy scale which serves as a high-energy cutoff. The scaling dimensions  $\Delta_e$  and  $\Delta_h$  depend sensitively on  $\nu^*$ , as shown in Fig. 4. As we shall see, these equations describe much of the phenomenology<sup>1-3</sup> of transport in quantum Hall stripe states.

Remarkably, for  $\nu^* = 1/2$  this theory makes two parameter free quantitative predictions:

$$\rho_{\text{easy}}\rho_{\text{hard}} = (h/e^2)^2 \frac{1}{\{([\nu]+1)^2 + [\nu]^2\}^2}$$
(36)

and

$$\rho_{\text{hall}} = \frac{h}{e^2} \frac{2[\nu] + 1}{([\nu] + 1)^2 + [\nu]^2}.$$
(37)

Notice that the scattering times have completely dropped out of these expressions. Interestingly, the Hall resistivity at  $\nu = \lfloor \nu \rfloor + 1/2$  is predicted to deviate noticeably from (the classical value)  $(h/e^2)/(\lfloor \nu \rfloor + 1/2)$ . The most extensive experimental data are for  $\lfloor \nu \rfloor = 4$ . In this case, the value predicted for the product of  $\rho_{easy}$  and  $\rho_{hard}$  appears to agree with the published data to within better than a factor of 2, provided one accounts for the particular current paths<sup>16</sup> appropriate for the sample geometry. Experimental verification of the predicted  $\lfloor \nu \rfloor$  dependence of this product would help establish the efficacy of this transport theory.

At  $\nu^* = 1/2$ , Eq. (34) predicts a weak temperature dependence of the dissipative resistivities. Specifically, due to Luttinger-liquid effects which drive an *enhancement* of the

interedge scattering rate upon cooling (since  $\Delta_{e/h} < 1$  at  $\nu^* = 1/2$ ), the resistivity in the hard direction should drop slowly with cooling whereas  $\rho_{easy}$  should rise.

It is interesting to consider the predicted dependence of the resistivities on the filling factor. For  $\nu^* < 1/2$ , the electron stripes are narrower than the hole stripes and a freefermion evaluation of the relaxation times would give  $\tau_h$  $> \tau_e$ . Since  $\Delta_e$  decreases and  $\Delta_h$  increases with increasing  $1/2 - \nu^*$ , the relaxation rate ratio is expected to increase beyond its free-fermion value at lower temperatures. For  $\tau_h$  $> \tau_e$  we have that  $\rho_{hard} = (h/e^2)(v_F \tau_e/a)/[\nu]^2$ . Since  $\tau_e$  decreases ever more rapidly upon cooling for larger  $1/2 - \nu^*$ , the hard resistivity is expected to be large at experimental temperatures only over a narrow interval surrounding  $\nu^*$ = 1/2. Backscattering interactions ignored in this Boltzmann transport theory will only tend to enhance this effect, acting in concert with impurity scattering.

In the same regime of filling factor, with  $\tau_h \gg \tau_e$ , the Hall resistivity approaches  $(h/e^2)/[\nu]$ . Moreover, one has  $\rho_{easy}$  $=(h/e^2)/[\nu]^2(v_F\tau_h/a)$  in this limit. Thus  $\rho_{easy}$  also decreases with  $1/2 - \nu^*$ , because of both bare matrix element and scaling dimension tendencies. Interestingly, for  $\nu^*$  $\leq 0.4$  the scaling dimension for scattering across hole stripes,  $\Delta_h$ , becomes *larger* than 1 (see Fig. 4). This implies that  $\Gamma_h$ actually decreases upon cooling in this regime, strongly enhancing the one-dimensional nature of the electron stripes and driving localization. But for  $\nu^* \leq 0.4$  one really must include the strong effects of electron (backscattering) interactions which drive the Wigner crystal instability-again, this acts in concert with impurity affects. Upon cooling within this Wigner crystal regime, the dissipative resistivities should rapidly vanish leaving a quantized Hall resistance. It follows from particle-hole symmetry that  $\tau_e(\nu^*) = \tau_h(1)$  $-\nu^*$ ), so similar conclusions can be reached for transport properties at  $\nu^* > 1/2$ .

In Fig. 7, we plot the  $ho_{\rm easy}$  and  $ho_{\rm hard}$  filling factor dependencies predicted by this model for  $[\nu] = 4$  and  $\delta$ -correlated disorder model. Here we have taken  $T=0.003e^2/l$  and  $E_c$  $=0.3e^{2}/l$ . The disorder strength was chosen to give  $\rho_{\text{hard}}/\rho_{\text{easy}} = 10$  at  $\nu^* = 0.5$ . Notice that  $\rho_{\text{easy}}$  has a maximum at  $\nu^* = 1/2$ , not the minimum seen in many experiments. Within the Boltzmann theory this feature depends on the details of the disorder model used; models with only small angle scattering at zero magnetic field tend to give electron relaxation times which decrease and hole relaxation times which increase more rapidly with  $1/2 - \nu^*$ , changing the shape of these curves. In addition, current-path corrections<sup>16</sup> might be essential in producing the apparent  $\rho_{easy}$  minimum at  $\nu^* = 1/2$  in experiments. No plausible disorder model in this theory gives  $\rho_{hard}$  results which drop to zero as strongly with increasing  $1/2 - \nu^*$  as in experiments; we believe that backscattering interactions and localization, both effects neglected here, are playing an important role in driving the resistivities to small values away from  $\nu^* = 1/2$ .

The transport theory described above can be readily generalized to account for the nonlinear transport features, which are present experimentally—notably at  $\nu^* = 1/2$ . The voltage drop across a stripe, given by  $V_y = aE_y/2$  at  $\nu^*$ = 1/2, can be readily incorporated into the RG scaling ap-



FIG. 7. Linear transport coefficients calculated from the Boltzmann transport theory for N=2 and d=10l, using a model of  $\delta$ -correlated disorder. The disorder strength was chosen to give a ration of hard to easy direction resistivities equal to 10 at  $\nu^*=0.5$ , and the temperature was chosen to be 100 times smaller than the microscopic interaction strength as explained in the text. Interaction and localization effects neglected in this Boltzmann theory are expected to strongly suppress these resistivities at low temperatures outside of the interval  $0.4 \le \nu^* \le 0.6$ .

proach for the scattering rates. Not surprisingly, the resulting dependence on voltage is the same as that on temperature obtained above:

$$\Gamma_{e} \sim \Gamma_{e}^{(0)} (V_{y}/E_{c})^{2\Delta_{e}-2},$$
  

$$\Gamma_{h} \sim \Gamma_{h}^{(0)} (V_{y}/E_{c})^{2\Delta_{h}-2}.$$
(38)

This expression is valid in low-temperature or high-voltage limits, with  $k_B T \ll V_y$ . The nonlinear differential resistivity in the hard direction can now be obtained by using the expression for the tunneling current across stripes from Eq. (34):  $I_y = (e^2/h)\Gamma_e V_y L_x/v_F$ . One thereby obtains

$$\frac{\partial V_y}{\partial I_y} \sim I_y^{\alpha},\tag{39}$$

with an exponent  $\alpha = 2(1 - \Delta_e)/(2\Delta_e - 1)$ . Using the value of  $\Delta_e = 0.756$  calculated from theory at  $\nu^* = 1/2$  for the case  $[\nu] = 4$  and d = 10l (see Fig. 4), gives the estimate  $\alpha = 0.93$ . Calculations for models with more remote screening planes will give larger values for  $\Delta_e$  (but always smaller than 1, as explained above) and smaller positive values for  $\alpha$ . Notice that a positive exponent implies an enhancement of the hard axis resistivity when driven nonlinear—consistent with the experimental findings in Ref. 1. This increase in resistivity is due to a voltage suppression of the correlation-induced interlayer tunneling enhancement—and as such is a rather direct experimental indication of nontrivial Luttinger-liquid correlations of the chiral edge channels. A similar calculation can be performed for nonlinearities in the easy axis current. At  $\nu^* = 1/2 V_x \propto \Gamma_e I_x$  where  $I_x$  is the easy-direction current. In this case  $V_y \propto \nu I_x$  is the Hall voltage. It follows that

$$\frac{\partial V_x}{\partial I_x} \sim I_x^\beta,\tag{40}$$

with an exponent  $\beta = 2(\Delta_e - 1)$ . For N = 2 and d = 10l, we obtain  $\beta = -0.48$ ; smaller negative values are found for models with more remote screening planes. In this theory the easy-direction resistivity is suppressed when driven nonlinear with the current, a property which is also consistent<sup>1</sup> with experimental findings. We emphasize that these power laws hold only in the low-temperature or high-voltage limits; a careful comparison of the theoretical dependence on voltage to temperature ratio with experiment could provide a strong test of this transport theory.

# V. SUMMARY

Recent experiments<sup>1-3</sup> have established a consistent set of transport properties for high-mobility two-dimensional electron systems with high orbital index  $(N \ge 2)$  partially filled Landau levels which differ from those in the low orbital index ( $N \leq 1$ ) fractional quantum Hall regime. At large N, the dissipative resistivities are large, strongly anisotropic, and non-linear for  $0.4 \le \nu - \lceil \nu \rceil \le 0.6$  within each Landau level. This anisotropic transport regime is bracketed by regions of reentrant integer quantum Hall plateaus. In this paper we have presented a theory which is able to account for most features of these experiments. The theory starts from the unidirectional charge-density-wave (smectic) state of Hartree-Fock theory,<sup>4,5</sup> in which the electrons reside in periodically spaced stripes with a spontaneously chosen orientation. Forward and backscattering interactions, neglected in the Hartree-Fock theory, are included by retaining the lowenergy electron excitations at the stripe edges. These form a set of coupled 1D chiral modes, easily described with bosonization techniques. We find that (i) for smectic states in quantum Hall systems, the chiral boson degrees of freedom coincide with stripe position and width degrees of freedom; (ii) backscattering interactions which drive the system toward electron or hole Wigner crystal states are always relevant, but only below inaccessibly low temperatures in the anisotropic transport regime; and (iii) a semiclassical Boltzmann transport theory for the smectic state is able to account for the magnitude of the anisotropic dissipative resistivities and for the sign of the nonlinearities which appear at higher transport currents.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge insightful conversations with Herb Fertig, Michel Fogler, Tomas Jungwirth, Jim Eisenstein, Eduardo Fradkin, and Steve Girvin and the stimulating environment provided by the ITP, where this research was initiated. M.P.A.F. is grateful to the NSF for generous support under Grant Nos. DMR-97-04005, DMR95-28578, and PHY94-07194. A.H.M. is grateful for support under NSF Grant No. DMR-97-14055.

- <sup>1</sup>M. P. Lilly, K. B. Cooper, J. P. Eisenstein, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 394 (1998); preprint, cond-mat/9903153; preprint, cond-mat/9903196.
- <sup>2</sup>R. R. Du, D. C. Tsui, H. L. Stormer, L. N. Pfeiffer, K. W. Baldwin, and K. W. West, Solid State Commun. **109**, 389 (1999); W. Pan, R. R. Du, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, L. N. Pfeiffer, K. W. Baldwin, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 820 (1999).

<sup>3</sup>M. Shayegan and H. C. Manoharan, preprint, cond-mat/9903405.

- <sup>4</sup>A. A. Koulakov, M. M. Fogler, and B. I. Shklovskii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 499 (1996); Phys. Rev. B **54**, 1853 (1996); M. M. Fogler and A. A. Koulakov, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 9326 (1997).
- <sup>5</sup>R. Moessner and J. T. Chalker, Phys. Rev. B 54, 5006 (1996).
- <sup>6</sup>E. H. Rezayi, F. D. M. Haldane, and Kun Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1219 (1999).
- <sup>7</sup>H. A. Fertig, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3693 (1999).
- <sup>8</sup>Tudor Stanescu, Ivar Martin, and Philip Phillips, preprint cond-mat/9905116.
- <sup>9</sup>See, for example, T. Jungwirth, A. H. MacDonald, and S. M. Girvin, preprint cond-mat/9905353.

- <sup>10</sup>E. Fradkin and S. A. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 8065 (1999).
- <sup>11</sup>S. A. Kivelson, E. Fradkin, and V. J. Emery, Nature (London) 393, 550 (1998).
- <sup>12</sup>See, for example, V. J. Emery, in *Highly Conducting One-Dimensional Solids*, edited by J. T. Devreese, R. P. Evrard, and V. E. van Doren (Plenum, New York, 1979).
- <sup>13</sup>J. Sólyom, Adv. Phys. 28, 201 (1979).
- <sup>14</sup>At nonzero temperatures, an unbinding of disclinations will destroy the translational long-range order, but algebraic rotational order can survive.
- <sup>15</sup>At  $\nu^* = 1/2$  there is an additional class of momentum-conserving low-energy processes in which one particle scatters across an electron stripe and the second particle scatters across a hole stripe. Calculations similar to those discussed here show that these are irrelevant in a renormalization-group sense.
- <sup>16</sup>Steven H. Simon, Phys. Rev. Lett. (to be published).
- <sup>17</sup>A. H. MacDonald (unpublished).
- <sup>18</sup>G. R. Aizuri and V. A. Vokov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 87, 1469 (1984) [Sov. Phys. JETP 60, 844 (1984)].